UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/643,097 | 08/18/2003 | Warran B. Lineton | 71024-023 | 3347 | |
| 59582 | 7590 01/29/2008 WRIGHT PLLC | | EXAMINER | | |
| 38525 WOODWARD AVENUE | | | BUTLER, PATRICK NEAL | | |
| SUITE 2000 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-2970 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| DECOMI IEE | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | 1791 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/29/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 10/643,097 | LINETON, WARRAN B | LINETON, WARRAN B. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Patrick Butler | 1791 | | | |

| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
|--|--|---|----------------------------|
| THE R | EPLY FILED <u>16 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| t p | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affoliaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in care Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mustime periods: | idavit, or other evidence, who compliance with 37 CFR 41.3 | ich 31; or (3) |
| a) [| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) [2 | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | g date of the final rejection. | |
| have be under 3 set forti may rec | ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origing in in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dated any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | of the fee. The appropriate externally set in the final Office action | ension fee n; or (2) as |
| f a | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be iling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 3 <u>DMENTS</u> | avoid dismissal of the appe | |
| (| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, a) \boxtimes They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NO b) \boxtimes They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | |
| , | c) \(\sum \) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reappeal; and/or | | ues for |
| (| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejunction Short (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(c)) | ected claims. | |
| 4. 🗌 | NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (PTOL- | -324). |
| | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | • | • • |
| 6. 🗌 | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, non-allowable claim(s). | timely filed amendment can | celing the |
| 7. 🔲 H | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will now the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | I be entered and an explana | ition of |
| Ò | Claim(s) objected to: | • | |
| (| Claim(s) rejected: | | |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | |
| 8. 🔲 1 | AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a No because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidav was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | |
| e | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fails to pr | |
| | The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entered and process of the claims | ntry is below or attached. | |
| | <u>EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in <u>See the enclosed response.</u> | n condition for allowance bed | cause: |
| | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |
| 13. 🔲 | Other: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues that require further consideration and/or search and that do not place the application in better form for appeal are the new limitations of drawing the vacuum directly and doing so downstream from the compaction zone in line 8 of Claim 1 and lines 5 and 6 of Claim 8. The new matter does not place the application in better form for appeal is the new limitations of drawing the vacuum directly line 8 of Claim 1 and lines 5 and 6 of Claim 8.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 16 January 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues with respect to the 35 USC § 103(a) rejections. Applicant's arguments appear to be on the grounds that:

- 1) Although Windeler discloses drawing a vacuum on the vents, Windeler does not impart vacuum on the material. Specifically, the vacuum is not imparted on the material after the compacting step and past the heating zone.
- 2) The applied references fail to teach the newly claimed limitations of drawing the vacuum directly on the material during the sintering step and after the compacting step.

The Applicant's arguments are addressed as follows:

- 1) Although Applicant's Arguments with respect to the vacuum have been considered, the arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record.
- 1 and 2) The Arguments pertain to the claims as amended: the new issues and new matter. The Examiner's response to the previously rejected claims may be found in the final rejection mailed 16 November 2007.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Butler whose telephone number is (571) 272-8517. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thu. 7:30 a.m.-5 p.m. and alternating Fridays.

Application/Control Number:

10/643.097

Art Unit: 1791

Page 3

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patrick Butler

Assistant Examiner

Art Unit 1791